

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING	DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
AFFLICATION NO.	TILING	DAIL	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNET DOCKET NO.	CONTINUATION NO.
10/825,384	04/15	/2004 ·	Michael T. Gauthier	158.004	8456
23598	7590	06/24/2005	•	EXAMINER	
BOYLE FR	EDRICKSO	N NEWHOLM	LORENCE, RICHARD M		
250 E. WISC	CONSIN AVE	NUE			
. SUITE 1030				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
MILWAUKEE, WI 53202				3681	
			•	DATE MAIL ED. 04/24/200	c

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Cumman.	10/825,384	GAUTHIER, MICHAEL T.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Richard M. Lorence	3681					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 Ag	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 April 2004.						
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☒ This							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,6-12 and 14-20</u> is/are rejected.	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,6-12 and 14-20</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) <u>2-5 and 13</u> is/are objected to.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>15 April 2004</u> is/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
<u> </u>							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)							
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>4/15/04</u> .	6) Other:						

SK

Application/Control Number: 10/825,384

Art Unit: 3681

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

This is the first Office action on the merits of Application No. 10/825,384 filed on April 15, 2004. Claims 1-20 are currently pending.

#### **Drawings**

The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they do not include the following reference sign(s) mentioned in the description: 38 (page 6, line 14), 42 (page 7, line 2), 69 (page 8, line 9) and 94 (page 9, line 27).

The drawings are further objected to because in Figure 1 "90" should be - - 92 - -, and "92" should be - - 90 - - in order to be consistent with the description at line 25 on page 9.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Art Unit: 3681

#### Specification

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: In line 3 of page 8 "Fig. 7" apparently should read - - Fig. 6 - -. In line 4 on page 9 "83bpositioned" should read - - 83b positioned - -. In line 27 on page 9 the reference to Fig. 7 is obviously in error since the cap is not shown in Fig. 7. Appropriate correction is required.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 6-8 and 15-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 6 the recitation of "a first bearing" in line 2 constitutes a double inclusion of the bearing recited in line 7 of claim 1.

Claim 15 recites the limitation "the cavity" in line 10. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

In claim 16 the recitation of "a first bearing" in line 2 constitutes a double inclusion of the bearing recited in line 8 of claim 15.

Application/Control Number: 10/825,384

Art Unit: 3681

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 6-9, 12 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Bodnar '478 which shows a ratchet mechanism 10 in Figure 1 including the housing 12, gear 17, shaft 16, pawls 24, 25, first bearing 21, second bearing 15 and cap 31.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 10 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bodnar '478.

Bodnar does not disclose the use of a roller bearing for rotatably journaling the shaft in the housing. Roller bearings are notoriously well known types of bearings. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize a roller bearing in lieu of the plain bearing shown in order to

Page 5

minimize wear of the shaft.

Nor does Bodnar disclose forming the bearing 21 from plastic. Self-lubricating bearings formed of plastic are also well known types of bearings. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to form the bearing 21 of Bodnar from low friction plastic in order to minimize wear of the shaft.

### Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 2-5 and 13 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claims 15-20 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

#### Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on April 15, 2004 has been considered by the examiner.

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Gagnon et al. '071, Slusar et al. '158, Chen '617, Huang '147 and Gauthier '458 show ratchet mechanisms.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Richard M. Lorence whose telephone number is (571) 272-7094. The examiner can normally be reached on Mondays through Fridays from

9:00AM to 5:30PM.

872-9306.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Charles A. Marmor can be reached on (571) 272-7095. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Primary Examiner

Page 6

Art Unit 3681